

TATA ZEST - 2 AIRBAGS



11.15 max. 17.00 **ADULT**



15.52 max. 49.00 **CHILD**

Tested at 64 km/h

BODYSHELL INTEGRITY: UNSTABLE

ADULT OCCUPANT PROTECTION



FRONT PASSENGER



DRIVER

- GOOD
- ADEQUATE
- MARGINAL
- WEAK
- POOR

CAR DETAILS

TESTED MODEL TATA ZEST, RHD

BODY TYPE 4 DOOR SEDAN

CRASH TEST WEIGHT KG 1395

YEAR OF PUBLICATION 2016

CHILD RESTRAINTS

	CHILD RESTRAINT	HEAD / CHEST	CRS TYPE	ADJUST	POSITION
18 MONTH OLD CHILD	BRITAX ROMER BABY SAFE	PROTECTED / FAIR	0+	BELTED	RWF
3 YEAR OLD CHILD	BRITAX ROMER DUO PLUS	VULNERABLE / POOR	1	BELTED	FWF

SAFETY EQUIPMENT

FRONT SEATBELT PRETENSIONERS	YES	SIDE BODY AIRBAGS	NO	SBR	DRIVER
DRIVER FRONTAL AIRBAG	YES	SIDE HEAD AIRBAGS	NO	ISOFIX ANCHORAGES	NO
FRONT PASSENGER FRONTAL AIRBAG	YES	DRIVER KNEE AIRBAG	NO	ABS (4 CHANNEL)	NO

ADULT OCCUPANT The protection offered to the driver head was Adequate due to bottoming out of the driver airbag. Driver chest protection as marginal. Passenger's head and chest protection was good. The front passengers' knees could impact with dangerous structures in the dashboard lie the Tran fascia tube. The bodyshell was rated as unstable and it was not capable of withstanding any further loadings. The car offers driver Seat Belt Reminder.

CHILD OCCUPANT The child seat for the 3 year old child was unable to prevent excessive forward movement during the impact. The recommended CRSs did not show incompatibility. The installation instructions on both child seats were insufficient and not permanently attached to the seat. The vehicle was equipped with a passenger airbag but it could not be disabled in order to prevent high risks of injuries when installing a Rearward facing CRS.